

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,537 07/09/2003		07/09/2003	Teruaki Komiya	TOW-032	1933
959	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE &		TELD	WEINER, LAURA S		
BOSTON, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1745	V-100-1

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,537	KOMIYA, TERUAKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura S. Weiner	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 3	luly 2003.		
• •	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	1		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted to by the Examination 10.		the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
	n milaniku umdan 25 H C C - S 4	40(a) (d) as (0	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 O.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) of (i).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received		
Certified copies of the priority document		lication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	, ,		
application from the International Burea		· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
		,	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a solid polymer electrolyte comprising: A) a basic solid polymer as the base material and B) a material which has at least one lone pair. The species are independent or distinct because A) the polymer can be polymer of Formula (1), Formula (2), Formula (3) or Formula (4) cited in claim 3 or be specifically polybenzimidazole. Please pick one from above. B) the material can be a compound having a nitrogencontaining heterocyclic compound group, having an amino group, having an imino group or a nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compound. Please pick one from (claim 9 or 10) or 12 or 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5-6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/616,537

Art Unit: 1745

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was not made due to the complexity to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement so therefore an election has not been made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745 Page 4

March 23, 2006